## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WILLIAM CATO SELLS, JR.,	)
Plaintiff,	) 3:06-cv-00023-LRH-VPC
vs.	) MINUTE ORDER ) March 6, 2009
ELDON K. McDANIEL, et al.,	)
Defendants.	) )
PRESENT: THE HONORABLE LARRY R.	HICKS, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
DEPUTY CLERK: ROSEMARIE MILLER	REPORTER: NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF(S):	NONE APPEARING
COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT(S):	NONE APPEARING
MINUTE ORDER IN CHAMBERS:	
DENIED.  Recusal is governed by 28 U.S.C. §§ 1 recusal must set forth, in an affidavit, facts and See 28 U.S.C. § 144. The standard for recusal reasonable person with knowledge of all the famight reasonably be questioned." United State The alleged prejudice must result from an extraot sufficient cause for recusal. Id. The chall the recusal motion in the first instance. Id. at is no sound reason to do so.  Plaintiff's motion to recuse is based so allegations and is without merit. Plaintiff is all Hicks. Dissatisfaction with the granting of an Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation.  IT IS SO ORDERED.	acts would conclude that the judge's impartiality es v. Studley, 783 F.2d 934, 939 (9th Cir. 1986). rajudicial source; a judge's prior adverse ruling is enged judge should rule on the legal sufficiency of 940. The judge has a duty not to recuse when there alely upon conclusory beliefs and unsupported also mistaken that Joshua Hicks is the son of Judge extension of time to file objections to the ion (#141) is not sufficient cause for recusal.
Ι	LANCE S. WILSON, CLERK
T	

Deputy Clerk